Deriving the Double Ratio $R=1-6Re(\frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon})$

H.Dibon, M.Markytan and L.Widhalm Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Nikolsdorfergasse 18, A-1050 Vienna, Austria

NA48 Collaboration

Abstract

The arguments leading to the expression of the ratios of weak decay amplitudes

$$\eta^{00} = \frac{\langle \pi^0 \pi^0 \mid H_w \mid K_l^0 \rangle}{\langle \pi^0 \pi^0 \mid H_w \mid K_s^0 \rangle} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\eta^{+-} = \frac{\langle \pi^{+}\pi^{-} \mid H_{w} \mid K_{l}^{0} \rangle}{\langle \pi^{+}\pi^{-} \mid H_{w} \mid K_{s}^{0} \rangle}$$
(2)

in terms of the CP violation parameters ϵ and ϵ' are reviewed. The NA48 experiment will directly measure, with greatest precision, the moduli of these amplitudes and deduce the double ratio of 2π decay rates

$$R = \frac{|\eta^{00}|^2}{|\eta^{+-}|^2}. (3)$$

We then present a numerical calculation of $\operatorname{Re} \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon}$ using the present world average values of $K^0_{s,l}$ mean lifetimes and 2π branching fractions and of the charge asymmetry δ of K_{l3} decay as given by the Particle Data Group. In two additional calculations, a preliminary result for $|\eta^{+-}|$ by NA48 and a recent value for $|\eta^{+-}|$ obtained by CPLEAR, respectivley, are used instead.

1 Introduction

 K^0 mesons are observed in nature in two modes as K_s^0 and K_l^0 . These mesons are subject to weak interactions, strangeness is not conserved. They have definite and distinct masses m_s and m_l and mean life times τ_s and τ_l . Their dominant non-leptonic decays into pions with corresponding branching fractions are: $K_s^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ (0.6861 \pm 0.0028) and $\pi^0\pi^0$ (0.3139 \pm 0.0028), and $K_l^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ (0.1256 \pm 0.0020) and $\pi^0\pi^0$ (0.2112 \pm 0.0027).

Theory [1,2] works with strangeness conserving states K^0, \overline{K}^0 which do not have definite lifetimes nor definite masses for weak decays. These states are not eigenstates of CP:

$$CP \mid K^0 > = - \mid \overline{K}^0 > \tag{4}$$

$$CP \mid \overline{K}^0 > = - \mid K^0 >, \tag{5}$$

but the linear combinations

$$|K_1^0> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|K^0> + |\overline{K}^0>); \qquad CP = -1$$
 (6)

$$|K_2^0> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|K^0> - |\overline{K}^0>); \qquad CP = +1$$
 (7)

are eigenstates of CP. If CP conservation holds,

$$\mid K_s^0 > = \mid K_2^0 > \tag{8}$$

$$|K_l^0>=|K_1^0>.$$
 (9)

Since the CP eigenvalues of 2π and 3π states are $\eta_C^2 \eta_P^2 (-1)^l = +1$ and $\eta_C^3 \eta_P^3 (-1)^{l+L} = -1$ respectively for their orbital angular momenta l, L = 0, the CP conserving decays $K_s^0 \to 2\pi$ and $K_l^0 \to 3\pi$ are well realized, but the CP violating decay $K_l^0 \to 2\pi$ would be forbidden. By the observation of $K_l^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$ with branching fractions (2.067 \pm 0.035) 10^{-3} and (0.936 \pm 0.020) 10^{-3} respectively, CP violation in the K^0 system has been established.

The primary source of occurrence of $K_l^0 \to 2\pi$ is a small modification, by the complex-valued CP violation parameter ϵ , of the linear combinations (6) and (7) to

$$\mid K_s^0 > = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+\mid \epsilon \mid^2)}} ((1+\epsilon)\mid K^0 > + (1-\epsilon)\mid \overline{K^0} >) \tag{10}$$

$$\mid K_l^0 > = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+\mid \epsilon \mid^2)}} ((1+\epsilon)\mid K^0 > -(1-\epsilon)\mid \overline{K^0} >), \tag{11}$$

so that the observed $|K_{s,l}^0\rangle$ states acquire ϵ $|K_{1,2}^0\rangle$ components which give rise to CP violating decays. This is called indirect CP violation or CP violation from state mixing.

The inequality of the CP violating $K_l^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0$ and $\pi^+ \pi^-$ decay amplitudes (both relative to the respective CP conserving ones for K_s^0 , i.e. $\eta^{00} \neq \eta^{+-}$) is known as direct CP violation or CP violation of the decay amplitude [4]. It is characterized by an additional complex-valued CP violation parameter ϵ' . The principal interest of the NA48 experiment is the investigation of direct CP violation in the K^0 system [3]. While indirect CP violation is a

well known experimental fact, direct CP violation is still not quite established, and the aim of NA48 is to clear up this point.

In chapter 2 we concentrate on the indirect CP violation parameter ϵ . The direct CP violation will be introduced and discussed in chapter 3. After that we express the double ratio R (3) through ϵ and ϵ' in chapter 4. In chapter 5 we calculate R using numbers from the Particle Data Compilation Tables [5] and compare it with two R values obtained from using a preliminary NA48 result from 1996 data and a CPLEAR result instead.

2 The parameter ϵ of indirect CP violation

The parameter ϵ in equations (10) and (11) is a small quantity. In case $\epsilon = 0$ we recover relations (6) and (7) which express the states $|K_2^0\rangle$ and $|K_1^0\rangle$ by $|K^0\rangle$ and $|\overline{K}^0\rangle$. Introducing a vector representation on the basis of orthogonal states $|K^0\rangle$ and $|\overline{K}^0\rangle$, one has

$$\mid K^{\mathbf{0}}>=egin{pmatrix}1\0\end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mid \overline{K}^{\mathbf{0}}>=egin{pmatrix}0\1\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mid K_1^0>=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}inom{1}{1}, \qquad \mid K_2^0>=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}inom{1}{-1}.$$

 $\mid K_1^0>$ and $\mid K_2^0>$ are orthogonal and rotated by $\phi=\pm 45^o$ respectively. In the case of CP violation $\mid K_s^0>$ and $\mid K_l^0>$ are given by

$$\mid K_s^0>=rac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+\mid\epsilon\mid^2)}}inom{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}, \qquad \mid K_l^0>=rac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+\mid\epsilon\mid^2)}}inom{1+\epsilon}{-1+\epsilon},$$

and they are, by virtue of $\epsilon \neq 0$, not quite orthogonal any more. This characterizes the effect of CP violation in that both K_s^0 and K_l^0 (K_l^0 with small probability of order ϵ) have the common decay mode into 2π .

The Hamiltonian H can be written in the K^0 - \overline{K}^0 basis by a 2 x 2 matrix:

$$H = M - i\frac{\Gamma}{2} \tag{12}$$

where M and Γ are Hermitian 2 x 2 matrices, called the mass and decay matrices. Because the neutral kaons are unstable against decay, H itself is not Hermitian. For brevity we use the following short notation:

$$H_{mn} = \langle n \mid H \mid m \rangle = M_{mn} - i \frac{\Gamma_{mn}}{2}$$
 (13)

with m and n = 1,2 or K^0, \overline{K}^0 . The diagonalisation of the eigen value equation

$$\left(egin{array}{cc} H_{11} & H_{12} \ H_{21} & H_{22} \end{array}
ight) \mid \psi> = \left(egin{array}{cc} \lambda & 0 \ 0 & \lambda \end{array}
ight) \mid \psi>$$

yields the two eigenvalues $\lambda_{s,l}=m_{s,l}-i\gamma_{s,l}/2$ and the two eigenstates $|\psi>=|K_{s,l}^0>$ in the K^0,\overline{K}^0 -basis. Putting the determinant of $H_{mn}-\lambda\delta_{mn}$ to zero, solving

$$(H_{11}-\lambda)(H_{22}-\lambda)-H_{12}H_{21}=0$$

for λ and taking into account CPT-invariance $(H_{22} = H_{11})$, we obtain

$$\lambda_s = H_{11} + \sqrt{H_{12}H_{21}} \tag{14}$$

and

$$\lambda_l = H_{11} - \sqrt{H_{12}H_{21}}. (15)$$

The eigenvector $|\psi\rangle = |K_s^0\rangle$ is obtained by solving the eigenvalue equation for $\lambda = \lambda_s$:

$$\left(egin{array}{cc} H_{11} & H_{12} \ H_{21} & H_{22} \end{array}
ight) \left(egin{array}{cc} a \ b \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{cc} H_{11} + \sqrt{H_{12}H_{21}} & 0 \ 0 & H_{11} + \sqrt{H_{12}H_{21}} \end{array}
ight) \left(egin{array}{cc} a \ b \end{array}
ight)$$

with the result

$$a = \frac{\sqrt{H_{12}}}{\sqrt{H_{21}}}b. {16}$$

Comparing this to (10) we recognize

$$a = \frac{1+\epsilon}{\sqrt{2(1+|\epsilon|^2)}}, \qquad b = \frac{1-\epsilon}{\sqrt{2(1+|\epsilon|^2)}}.$$

Solving these equations for ϵ and taking into account (16) we get

$$\epsilon = rac{\sqrt{H_{12}} - \sqrt{H_{21}}}{\sqrt{H_{12}} + \sqrt{H_{21}}}.$$

By a simple transformation one obtains the following expression:

$$\epsilon = rac{H_{12} - H_{21}}{4\sqrt{H_{12}H_{21}} + (\sqrt{H_{12}} - \sqrt{H_{21}})^2}.$$

Neglecting the difference $(\sqrt{H_{12}} - \sqrt{H_{21}})^2$ gives

$$\epsilon \approx \frac{H_{12} - H_{21}}{4\sqrt{H_{12}H_{21}}},\tag{17}$$

which, by inserting the complex-valued matrix-elements (13) into H_{12} and H_{21} and disregarding $Im\Gamma_{12}$ due to $|Im\Gamma_{12}| \ll |ImM_{12}|$, yields

$$\epsilon \approx -i \frac{Im M_{12}}{2Re M_{12}}. (18)$$

The expression

$$\mid \epsilon \mid \approx \frac{Im M_{12}}{2Re M_{12}},\tag{19}$$

with M_{12} operating on the K^0 - \overline{K}^0 basis, is used for calculating ϵ with the Standard Model. Transforming (17) with (14) and (15) we obtain

$$\epsilon pprox rac{Im M_{12}}{i(\lambda_l - \lambda_s)}.$$

Taking into account

$$\lambda_l - \lambda_s = m_l - m_s - rac{i}{2}(\gamma_l - \gamma_s) = \Delta m - rac{i}{2}\Delta \gamma$$

we get for ϵ

$$\epsilon pprox rac{Im M_{12}}{rac{1}{2}\Delta \gamma - i\Delta m}.$$
 (20)

3 ϵ' , the parameter of direct CP violation

We consider the transition amplitudes of $K_s^0 \to \pi\pi$, $K_l^0 \to \pi\pi$ under the assumption that CPT invariance is valid. Pions are bosons therefore the total wavefunction must be symmetric with respect to particle interchange. The isospin of a pion is I=1 and therefore the final state can have only I = 0 or 2, $I_3 = 0$. This results from Clebsch - Gordan coefficients for I($\pi\pi$) = 0,1,2 [5]:

the symmetric wavefunctions are

and the asymmetric wavefunctions

The matrixelements in the definitions of η^{00} and $\eta^{+-}(3)$ and (1) are now developed according to the symmetric Isospin Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

$$\eta^{+-} = rac{rac{1}{\sqrt{3}} < \pi\pi, I = 2 \mid H_w \mid K_l^0 > + rac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} < \pi\pi, I = 0 \mid H_w \mid K_l^0 > }{rac{1}{\sqrt{3}} < \pi\pi, I = 2 \mid H_w \mid K_s^0 > + rac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} < \pi\pi, I = 0 \mid H_w \mid K_s^0 > }$$

Dividing by $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} < \pi\pi, I = 0 \mid H_w \mid K_s^0 > \text{and with the definitions of } \epsilon \text{ and } \omega$

$$\epsilon = \frac{\langle \pi \pi, I = 0 \mid H_w \mid K_l^0 \rangle}{\langle \pi \pi, I = 0 \mid H_w \mid K_s^0 \rangle}$$
(21)

$$\omega = \frac{\langle \pi \pi, I = 2 \mid H_w \mid K_s^0 \rangle}{\langle \pi \pi, I = 0 \mid H_w \mid K_s^0 \rangle}$$
(22)

we get

$$\eta^{+-} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\langle \pi\pi, I=2 | H_w | K_l^0 \rangle}{\langle \pi\pi, I=0 | H_w | K_s^0 \rangle} + \epsilon}{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \omega}$$
(23)

Adding and subtracting at the same time $\frac{\omega \epsilon}{\sqrt{2}}$ to (23) and by the definition of ϵ'

$$\epsilon' = \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\langle \pi\pi, I = 2 \mid H_w \mid K_l^0 \rangle}{\langle \pi\pi, I = 0 \mid H_w \mid K_l^0 \rangle} - \omega \right) \tag{24}$$

we get

$$\eta^{+-} = \epsilon + \frac{\epsilon'}{1 + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}}} \tag{25}$$

and similarily

$$\eta^{00} = \epsilon - \frac{2\epsilon'}{1 - \sqrt{2}\omega} \tag{26}$$

Neglecting ω (= 0.045 [5]) we obtain the well known approximations

$$\eta^{+-} \approx \epsilon + \epsilon', \qquad \eta^{00} \approx \epsilon - 2\epsilon'$$
(27)

Solving these two equations for ϵ and ϵ' gives

$$\epsilon' = \frac{1}{3}(\eta^{+-} - \eta^{00})(1 - \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} - \omega^2), \qquad \epsilon = \frac{1}{3}(2\eta^{+-} + \eta^{00} + \sqrt{2}\omega(\eta^{+-} - \eta^{00}))$$
 (28)

 $\epsilon^{'}$ and ϵ are now expressed by measureable quantities η^{00} , η^{+-} and ω .

4 The Ratio R

Using (27) (3) can be expressed by ϵ and ϵ' .

$$Rpprox rac{(\epsilon-2\epsilon^{'})(\epsilon-2\epsilon^{'})^*}{(\epsilon+\epsilon^{'})(\epsilon+\epsilon^{'})^*}$$

and after some calculation and neglecting terms of the order of $\epsilon^{'^2}$ we get the following expression

$$R pprox rac{1 - rac{2}{|\epsilon|^2} 2Re\epsilon'\epsilon^*}{1 + rac{1}{|\epsilon|^2} 2Re\epsilon'\epsilon^*}$$

R can be developed according to $\frac{1}{1+x}$ for $\mid x \mid < 1$. And we receive

$$R \approx 1 - 6 \frac{Re\epsilon' \epsilon^*}{|\epsilon|^2} = 1 - 6Re \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon}.$$
 (29)

R is now expressed by $\frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon}$ which is directly determinable by experiment by (27).

5 Calculating R from Decay Rates, Lifetimes and 2π branching ratios

From (27) we get

$$|\eta^{+-}|^{2} \approx |\epsilon|^{2} \left(1 + 2Re\frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon}\right)$$

$$\gamma(K_{l} \to all) = \frac{\hbar}{\eta}$$

$$\gamma(K_{l} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = \frac{\hbar}{\eta} B(K_{l} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-})$$

$$\gamma(K_{s} \to all) = \frac{\hbar}{\tau_{s}}$$

$$\gamma(K_{s} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = \frac{\hbar}{\tau_{s}} B(K_{s} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-})$$

$$\tau_{s} = (0.8927 \pm 0.0009) \ 10^{-10} s$$

$$\tau_{l} = (5.17 \pm 0.04) \ 10^{-8} s$$

$$B(K_{s} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = (0.6861 \pm 0.0028)$$

$$B(K_{l} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = (2.067 \pm 0.035) \ 10^{-3}$$

$$\frac{\gamma(K_{l} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-})}{\gamma(K_{s} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-})} = (0.5202 \pm 0.00993) \ 10^{-5}$$

In order to determine $Re^{\frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon}}$ form (30) we need to get $|\epsilon|^2$. [5] gives a value for the charge asymmetry

$$\delta pprox 2 Re \epsilon = (0.327 \pm 0.012)\%$$
 $Re \epsilon = (0.1635 \pm 0.006) \ 10^{-2}$

From $Re\epsilon$ we find

$$egin{align} \mid \epsilon \mid^2 = (Re\epsilon)^2 * (1+ an^2\Phi) \ & an(\Phi) = rac{\Delta m}{0.5\Delta\gamma} \ & \Delta m = (0.5304 \pm 0.0014) \ 10^{10}\hbar s^{-1} \ & \Delta \gamma = \hbar(au_l^{-1} - au_s^{-1}) = -(1.1183 \pm 0.00113) \ 10^{10}\hbar \ \end{split}$$

Knowing all these values we can determine

$$Re\frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} = 0.01213 \pm 0.0284$$

For comparison we quote here the result evaluated by I.Mikulec:

$$Re\frac{\epsilon^{'}}{\epsilon} = (1.2 \pm 1.2) \ 10^{-2}$$

and also a further NA48 result [6]:

$$Re\frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} = (-0.1603 \pm 3.014) \ 10^{-2}$$

and also a preliminary CPLEAR value [7]:

$$Re\frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} = (0.308 \pm 0.332) \ 10^{-1}$$

6 Summary

We have reviewed that theoretical quantities of CP violation can be expressed by experimental measurements. These theoretical quantities are

- ϵ' the direct CP violation parameter
- ullet the indirect CP violation parameter
- the double ratio R
- $\omega, \eta^{00}, \eta^{+-}$.

They can be expressed by the decay amplidudes $<\pi\pi\mid H_w\mid K_{s,l}^0>$. To develop these expressions no physical model was used. With the help of Particle Data Booklet Data, NA48 Data and CPLEAR Data we have obtained estimates of $\frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon}$ of low precisions. These estimates show that a high precision experiment is very important to pin down the real value of $\frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon}$.

References

- [1] Weak interactions of leptons and quarks Eugene D. Commins and Philip H. Bucksbaum Cambridge University Press 1983 p 244 ff.
- [2] Gauge theory of elementary particle physics Ta-Pei Cheng and Ling-Fong Li CLARENDON PRESS OXFORD 1984 p 378 ff.
- [3] Proposal for a Precision Measurement of $\frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon}$ in CP Violating $K^0 \to 2\pi$ Decays. G.D.Barr et al. CERN/SPSC/90-22, SPSC/P253 20 July 1990. G.D.Barr et al., Phys.Lett. B317, 233 (1993)
- [4] CP and CPT Symmetry Tests from Two-pion Decays of the Neutral Kaon with the Fermilab - E731 Detector L.K.Gibbons et al. FERMILAB-Pub-95/392-E Revised, EFI-95-76 E731.
- [5] PARTICLE PHYSICS BOOKLET Particle Data Group R.M.Barnett et al. AIP July 1996.
- [6] Steffen Luitz, thesis University of Mainz 1996.
- [7] CPLEAR Coll., CERN-PPE/96-190, CERN-PPE/96-189, Phys. Lett.B369(1996) 367.